

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,855	11/19/2003	Bin Zhang	200310852-1	7102
22879	7590 04/04/2005		EXAM	INER ·
	PACKARD COMPA	PRETLOW, DEMETRIUS R		
	P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			2863	

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/716,855	ZHANG, BIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Demetrius R. Pretlow	2863				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirly (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron , cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		,				
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 November 2003. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 and 26-30 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 21 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 11,22-25 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 19 November 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage,				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-19/03.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2863

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed November 19, 2003 fails to comply with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because C. Henning, "models and Methods for Clusterwise Linear Regression" does not have a publication date. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is advised that the date of any resubmission of any item of information contained in this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609 ¶ C(1).

Claim Objections

Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In line 4, it appears that the word --of-- is missing after --each--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Application/Control Number: 10/716,855

Control Hambor: 1077 10,00

Art Unit: 2863

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Golub et al. (US 2004/0009489). Golub et al. teach a dataset (Note paragraph 130, lines 3); Golub et al. teach a means (all functions are performed by the computer system, paragraph 17) for generating a plurality of different functions (hierarchical clustering and probabilistic clustering) that correlate datapoints of the dataset; Note paragraph 129, lines 1-5; paragraph 134, lines 1-7. Golub et al. teach a means (all functions are performed by the computer system, paragraph 17) for classifying new data into the dataset with respect to the plurality of different functions. Note paragraph 33, lines 5-6.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 22-25 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In reference to claim 22. the best prior art of record particularly Goloub et al. (US 2004/0009489) does not teach means for predicting one or more values for a variable parameter associated with input values of the new data.

Claims 1-20, 26-30 are allowed.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims1-8 is the inclusion of the limitations of an generating a plurality of different functions that correlate datapoints of a dataset

Application/Control Number: 10/716,855

Art Unit: 2863

through a regression clustering algorithm', and determining directives by which to categorize new data into the dataset through a classification algorithm. It is these limitations found in each of the claims, as they are claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 9-16 is the inclusion of the method step of based upon the different functions, determining classification directives by which to group new data into the dataset', and based upon the classification directives, selecting one of the functions to predict a value of a variable parameter associated with one or more known input values of the new data. It is these step found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 17-20 is the inclusion of the method step of receiving new data associated with the dataset, wherein the new data comprises known input values for a first set of the variable parameters and is substantially absent of values for a second set of the variable parameters', and determining a plurality of possible values for at least one value of the second set of the variable parameters based upon the known input values of the first set of variable parameters and the plurality of different functions. It is this steps found in each of the claims, as it is claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

Application/Control Number: 10/716,855

Art Unit: 2863

art.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 26-30 is the inclusion of the limitations of an a processor configured to: regressively cluster the dataset to generate functions that correlate datapoints of the dataset; and determine classifiers by which to correlate new data with respect to the generated functions. It is these limitations found in each of the claims, as they are **claimed in the combination**, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Demetrius R. Pretlow whose telephone number is (703) 272-2278. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Demetrius R. Pretlow

Denetro Pullos 3/21/05

MICHAEL NGHIEM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5